IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

In Re:) Case No:	17-31570-DHW
Annie Ruth Scott SSN: xxx-xx-9332) Chapter:	13
)	
Debtor)	

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION FILED BY WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.

Comes now WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., its principals, investors, successors, and/or assigns, if any, (hereinafter "Creditor"), by and through its undersigned counsel of record, Sirote & Permutt, P.C., and hereby objects to the proposed plan filed by the Debtor and seeks an order by this Honorable Court sustaining the Creditor's objection and denying confirmation of the Debtors' proposed plan; and in support thereof, the Creditor avers as follows:

- 1. This Honorable Court has jurisdiction to hear these matters and enter final orders pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 157 and 1334; and 11 U.S.C. § 1322, 1323, 1324 and 1325. The Objection to Confirmation constitutes a core proceeding and is a contested matter pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3015(f) and 9014.
- 2. The Creditor holds a mortgage lien on the property commonly referred to as 1831 Jackson Ferry Rd, Montgomery, AL 36104, and legally described in the Mortgage and Note.
- 3. The Debtor's plan proposes to pay the remaining balance owed to the Creditor through the plan.

- 4. If the Debtor will pay the remaining balance through the plan, they will need to maintain taxes and insurance independently.
- 5. The Debtor has not provided proof of insurance to the Creditor to ensure that the property is adequately protected during the life of the plan.
- 6. The Debtors' proposed plan impermissibly, unilaterally and without the consent of the Creditor, modifies the rights of the Creditor and holder of a secured claim, secured only by a security interest in real property that is the Debtors' principal residence.
- 7. The Debtors' proposed plan fails to comply with 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(1). Due to that failure, the Debtors' proposed plan appears to violate 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(3) and (a)(5).
- 8. The Debtors' actions in proposing a plan in violation of Section 1322(b)(2) and 1325(a)(1) have caused unreasonable delay that is prejudicial to the Creditor. Further, such impermissible provisions of the proposed plan renders the
- 9. WHEREFORE, ABOVE PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Creditor herein prays that this Honorable Court will sustain the Creditor's Objection to Confirmation; enter an order denying confirmation; grant said Creditor reasonable attorney's fees and costs associated with the filing of this Objection; and make a determination under 11 U.S.C § 1307(c)(5) whether additional time should be afforded the Debtor(s) for the filing of another plan or a modification; additional time should be afforded the Debtor(s) for the filing of another plan or a modification; and if additional time is afforded unto the Debtor for filing of

another plan or a modification, then enter an order awarding unto the Creditor adequate protection of its rights, interests, and security.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen Bugarella (BUL-021) 513

Enslen Crowe (CRO-098) Diane C. Murray (MUR-048)

Donald M. Wright (WRI-021)

Attorney for Creditor

OF COUNSEL:

Stephen Bulgarella Sirote & Permutt, P.C. 2311 Highland Avenue South Birmingham, Alabama 35205

Telephone: 205-918-5083 / Fax: 205-212-2874

sbulgarella@sirote.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify in accordance with Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(a), 9014, and 7004 that a copy of the above and foregoing pleading was mailed, first class postage prepaid to the following:

Annie Ruth Scott 1831 Jackson Ferry Road Montgomery, AL 36104

and served via electronic case management to:

Richard D Shinbaum P.O. Box 201

Montgomery, AL 36101

rshinbaum@smclegal.com

On this the 20th day of July

Sabrina L. McKinney

P.O. Box 173

Montgomery, AL 36101

trustees_office@ch13mdal.com

______, 2017

OF COUNSEL